

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**  
**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):** 9/22/08.

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Seattle District, Seattle Public Utilities, NWS-2008-844-WRD.  
Name of water being evaluated on this JD form: Unnamed tributary to Mapes Creek

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State: Washington County: King City: Seattle

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat: 47.5272 **N**, Long: -122.2686 **W**

Universal Transverse Mercator: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of nearest waterbody: Mapes Creek.

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Lake Washington.

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 17110012.

☒ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form. List other JDs: \_\_\_\_\_

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

☒ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 9/22/08.

☐ Field Determination. Date(s): \_\_\_\_\_.

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

☐ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

☐ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain: \_\_\_\_\_.

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **Are** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):**<sup>1</sup>

- ☐ TNWs, including territorial seas
- ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- ☒ Relatively permanent waters<sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- ☐ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- ☒ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- ☐ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- ☐ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- ☐ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
- ☐ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**

Non-wetland waters: 0.01 linear feet \_\_\_\_\_ width (ft) and/or \_\_\_\_\_ acres.

Wetlands: 0.4 acres.

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on:** **Established by OHWM**, and **1987 Delineation Manual**.

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): \_\_\_\_\_.

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):**<sup>3</sup>

☐ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.  
Explain: \_\_\_\_\_.

<sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

#### **A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs – NOT APPLICABLE**

#### **B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS – NOT APPLICABLE**

#### **C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION – NOT APPLICABLE**

#### **D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:**

##### **2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- ☒ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows perennial: The wetland, ditch and piped conveyance collect and discharge runoff, base flow, and stormflow a minimum of 2-3 months of the year, according to the applicant. The site drains an area of about 5.3 acres. Describe flow path to a TNW: The flowpath from the wetland to Lake Washington is via a short ditch exiting the wetland and then through pipes to Lake Washington.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

☒ Tributary waters: 20 linear feet 10 width (ft).

☐ Other non-wetland waters: \_\_\_\_\_ acres.

Identify type(s) of waters: \_\_\_\_\_.

##### **4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- ☒ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
- ☒ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: The wetland drains directly into an RPW ditch that then enters piped conveyance to Lake Washington

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.4 acres.

#### **E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): NOT APPLICABLE**

#### **F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS: NOT APPLICABLE**

### **SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

#### **A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply):**

- ☒ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: JARPA 6/19/08.
- ☐ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Corps navigable waters' study: \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ USGS NHD data.
- ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- ☒ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Seattle South, 1:24,000
- ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ FEMA/FIRM maps: \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: \_\_\_\_\_ (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- ☒ Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): \_\_\_\_\_  
or ☒ Other (Name & Date): Photos in applicant's May 2008 Wetland Delineation Report.
- ☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Applicable/supporting case law: \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature: \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☒ Other information (please specify): Cloverdale Place S. Culvert Improvements, Wetland Delineation Report, May 2008, prepared by Seattle Public Utilities; personal communication Naimi Chechowitz, Seattle Public Utilities, 9/22/08.

#### **B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: As the proposed work in permit application NWS-2008-844-WRD will occur only in the open ditch that connects the wetland with the drainage system that carries water to Lake Washington, and not in the wetland, we**

have determined that the wetland and open ditch segment are jurisdictional but have not reviewed or concurred with the wetland boundaries depicted in the applicant's wetland delineation.